The 5776 (2015-2016) cycle of Dvar Tzedek is a special one. To commemorate AJWS's 30th anniversary, we are sharing a selection of some of our favorite commentaries from past years. Each legacy commentary will be introduced with a related reflection on AJWS's work and contemporary issues.

Introductory Reflection

In his 2011 Dvar Tzedek on *Parashat Shmini*, author Jimmy Taber recounts the story of the death of Aaron's sons, Nadav and Avihu, who ignored God's clear instructions for ritual worship and were killed because of it. As Leviticus 10:1-2 reads, "Nadav and Avihu...offered before the Lord alien fire, which God has not enjoined upon them. And fire came forth from the Lord and consumed them; thus they died at the instance of the Lord." Driven by a deep desire to pursue God, they ignored the boundaries put in place. As Jimmy writes, "Counter-intuitively, Nadav and Avihu's deaths were a result of loving God too much."

Jimmy equates this story with the burnout that social justice activists experience when their struggles for justice become too emotional, too taxing, too frustrating or too dangerous. While the difficulties relating to social justice work are always present, the risks and devastation that can be involved have become very vivid in the past month for us at AJWS.

On March 3rd, Berta Cáceres, a veteran human rights advocate in Honduras whose work AJWS has supported, was killed in her home. Cáceres fought bravely to defend indigenous communities from the onslaught of development being conducted without their consent and to their detriment—especially hydroelectric dams that cut off the water they needed for survival. She persevered in the face of multiple threats to her life over the years, likely perpetrated by Honduran state security forces or backers of the megaprojects she sought to halt. Less than two weeks after the brutal murder of Cáceres, another human rights activist in Honduras was murdered. Nelson García, who worked closely with Berta to defend the land and water rights of the Lenca indigenous community, was killed by Honduran security forces.

Berta and Nelson never got overwhelmed by loving their cause too much; never gave up in the face of the constant struggle and danger. And so, today, AJWS now vows to continue seeking justice for their deaths and to continue to support the cause they died for, amidst the sadness and pain we feel. We must continue the fight for justice.

Click here to urge the Honduran government to end the brutality against human rights defenders in Honduras, and read Jimmy's inspiring piece below.

Parashat Shmini 5776

By Jimmy Taber
April 2, 2016
(Reprised from March 26, 2011)

At times, I find that my fellow social justice activists are tired. Tired from the barrage of need they face daily. Tired from the uphill battle against intractable social problems. Tired from the wearing down of their expectations that

sustainable change is possible. Eventually, their emotional capacity for social justice work becomes exhausted. Sometimes it seems that the more they commit to fighting for social justice, the more vulnerable they are to being consumed by an overwhelming feeling of helplessness.

We find a striking parallel to this phenomenon in the story of Nadav and Avihu in *Parashat Shmini*. Immediately following the inauguration of Aaron and his sons,¹ something strange and tragic occurs. In Leviticus 10:1-2 we read: "Now Aaron's sons Nadav and Avihu each took his fire pan, put fire in it, and laid incense on it; and they offered before the Lord alien fire, which God had not enjoined upon them. And fire came forth from the Lord and consumed them; thus they died at the instance of the Lord."²

It is clear from the language of the text that Nadav and Avihu transgressed the established boundaries of worship. Yet, their motivations are less evident. Why would two members of the priestly class ignore God's clear instructions for ritual worship?

Eighteenth-century Moroccan Talmudist and kabbalist Chaim ben Moses ibn Attar understands Nadav and Avihu to be driven by a desire to pursue God that could not be contained by established boundaries. Writing in his well known commentary the Ohr HaChaim, ibn Attar points to the line in Leviticus 16 that reads: "The Lord spoke to Moses after the death of the two sons of Aaron who died when they drew too close to the presence of the Lord." He writes: "They approached the supernal light out of their great love of the Holy, and thereby died. Thus they died by 'Divine kiss' such as experienced by the perfectly righteous; the difference is only that the righteous die when the Divine kiss approaches them, while [Nadav and Avihu] died by their approaching it." Counter-intuitively, Nadav and Avihu's deaths were a result of loving God too much.

Their story illustrates that the boundaries for worship established through God's instructions to Moses are designed, in part, to prevent people from becoming consumed by their passion for the Divine. Significantly, Rashi understands Nadav and Avihu's destruction to be of the soul and not the body. Commenting on the description of the deceased men being carried from the camp by their tunics, Rashi writes: "This tells us that their garments had not been destroyed by fire, but their souls alone—as if two strands of fire had entered their nostrils." Nadav and Avihu's clothing and bodies remained intact with no signs of burning; they had been struck by fire inwardly.

The description of Nadav and Avihu's fate closely mirrors the experience of the burned-out activist. Like Nadav and Avihu in their overzealous worship, the pursuit of justice can bring us "too close" to relentless suffering and the disappointment of constant setbacks. And like the Divine fire, these encounters can consume our inner passion. Outwardly, the activist in us continues to exist, but our drive to pursue change is significantly diminished.

In order to prevent hopelessness and maintain a productive passion for social change, we must learn to establish boundaries between our activism and our inner selves. This may feel counterintuitive, since the archetypal activist is

©2016 American Jewish World Service

To subscribe to this publication, please visit www.ajws.org/dvartzedek.

AJWS is committed to a pluralistic view of Judaism and honors the broadest spectrum of interpretation of our texts and traditions. The statements made and views expressed in this commentary are solely the responsibility of the author.

¹ Leviticus 9.

² Leviticus 10:1-2.

³ Leviticus 16:1.

⁴ Ohr HaChaim on Leviticus 16:1.

⁵ Leviticus 10:5.

⁶ Rashi on Leviticus 10:5.

one who commits his or her entire being to a cause without limitation. But *Parashat Shmini* illustrates that even in the pursuit of our highest values, we must operate within a practical framework that enables us to function.

Our challenge, then, is to find out where our individual boundaries lie, and to learn to approach close enough to our cause to influence positive change, but not so close that we become consumed by it. We must grapple deeply with suffering and injustice, but maintain enough distance in our personal lives to give us the strength to carry on. We must recognize our own tolerance for frustration and ensure that we do not overinvest.

When we fail to respect this boundary, we, like Nadav and Avihu, run the risk of letting our pursuit of social justice consume our souls. By limiting the expression of our passion in the short term through a few protective measures, we extend it and deepen it long into the future.



Jimmy Taber is the New Israel Fund's associate director in New York. Previously, he worked in Israel for the Joint Distribution Committee's Center for International Migration and Integration, managing Israel's Assisted Voluntary Return and Reintegration Program for asylum seekers and migrant workers. Prior to his work at the JDC, Jimmy received his MA and MBA from Brandeis University's Hornstein Program in Jewish Professional Leadership. Jimmy can be reached at jamesetaber@gmail.com.

©2016 American Jewish World Service

To subscribe to this publication, please visit www.ajws.org/dvartzedek.

AJWS is committed to a pluralistic view of Judaism and honors the broadest spectrum of interpretation of our texts and traditions. The statements made and views expressed in this commentary are solely the responsibility of the author.