

## Parshat Bo 5772

By Rabbi Wendi Geffen January 28, 2012

Passover doesn't arrive until April, but *Parshat Bo* already has us thinking about it. In detailing the first *Chag haMatzot*,<sup>1</sup> the Festival of Unleavened Bread, the *parshah* establishes perhaps the most fundamental dichotomy of Passover: chametz vs. matzah.

We generally assume chametz and matzah to be opposites, given their oppositional treatment in the text.<sup>2</sup> After all, matzah was the bread baked by our ancestors in their hurried attempt to leave the oppression of Egypt. Matzah reminds us of our last moments of servitude and our narrow, constricted existence in *Mitzrayim*. Tradition would later name matzah "*lechem oni*" — the bread of poverty and affliction. Chametz, on the other hand, is the bread of decadence and freedom: baked with no time constraints, it has all the time it needs to expand and rise. It represents excess and ease—something our ancestors never experienced in Egypt—and thus, is as far as possible from everything matzah represents.

But despite this differing symbolism, there actually isn't a lot of difference between chametz and matzah. They are composed of identical grains<sup>4</sup> and differ only in the length of their cooking time: leave your wheat soaking for 18 minutes or less, you have matzah; leave it soaking 18.1 minutes or more, you have chametz. For so-called opposites, the line is notably thin.

This thin line blurs more when we examine the two in the context of the Passover story. On Passover, the *lechem oni* reminding us of our suffering is the same stuff that enables us to become free; the bread of affliction is also the bread of liberation. And chametz, the supposed symbol of ease, ultimately comes to represent the fermenting stench of oppression.

The malleability of these symbols—and their meanings—is even more pronounced at the modern Seder, where we use matzah to represent a new idea: responsibility. We begin *Maggid* (the portion of the Seder where we retell our story) by holding up the matzah and declaring: "*Ha lachma anyā*—this is the bread of affliction that our ancestors ate in the land of Egypt." But instead of going into the details of this affliction, the text issues a command: "Let all who are hungry come and eat. All who are in need, come and participate in the Passover ritual." We don't introduce the matzah as a self-serving vehicle, one by which we dwell on our own oppression—or even our own freedom—but rather, we lift up the matzah as a way of demonstrating our responsibility toward others who are still oppressed and not yet free.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The modern Passover festival as it is understood and practiced today has evolved over time, and was essentially fixed by the time of the Mishnah's publication. *Parshat Bo*'s initial presentation of the observance—the very first mention of anything having to do with Passover—details three seemingly different institutions: the Pesach sacrifice (Ex. 12:1-13, 21-28, 43-50), the Festival of Unleavened Bread (Ex. 12:16-20, 13:3-8) and even the ritual of consecrating/redeeming the firstborn (Ex. 13:1-2, 11-15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> We are commanded to remove all chametz that we own, and at the same time, to be sure to consume matzah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Deuteronomy 16:3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Wheat, oats, barley, spelt or rye.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Aramaic for *lechem oni*—the bread of affliction.

The introduction of the matzah in relation to our own suffering does not serve to exemplify how far we've come, nor how free we are to do whatever we please. Instead, our history of affliction and our newfound freedom together obligate us to bring about the same transformation for others in our world. It is our responsibility as free people to intervene on their behalf as God did for us, to bring about a new reality where the oppressed can taste liberation and attain true freedom.

In this light, "Ha lachma anya" is just the beginning. We may start by inviting those in need to join our meal, but we shouldn't stop there. The charge for inclusivity and social equalization is one that calls to us not just at our Seder tables, but for as long as anyone sits shackled, physically or metaphorically, in our world. The closing words of Ha lachma anya emphasize this notion: "This year we are slaves, next year may we be free." By concluding that we are, in fact, not yet free, the passage can teach us that *true* freedom does not exist until *everyone* is free.

Passover won't arrive for nearly three months, but we do not need to wait until then to work toward sustainable change, to enable the oppressed in our world to enjoy the same freedoms for which we already give thanks. By regularly contributing our financial, occupational and spiritual resources toward responsibly and sustainably ending oppression, we take the steps that may lead us to truly experience the fulfillment of our collective desire, that one day we may all be free.



**Wendi Geffen** is a rabbi at North Shore Congregation Israel in suburban Chicago. Dedicated to social justice and its Jewish textual roots, she regularly works to empower the synagogue and her larger community to act on the Jewish imperative to pursue *tzedek*. Wendi is also the creator of Beyond and Back, a Chicago-wide program that engages young adults to explore their Jewish identity through text study and dialogue. A graduate of Emory University, she was ordained at Hebrew Union College and received honors for academic achievement in Bible. Wendi can be reached at geffenajws@gmail.com.

## ©2012 American Jewish World Service

To subscribe to this publication, please visit www.ajws.org/dvartzedek.

The writers of the Dvar Tzedek are the recipients of the Lisa Goldberg Memorial Fellowship. As President of the Charles S. Revson Foundation, Lisa Goldberg had a profound commitment to the Jewish community and to social justice. She was a creative and vigorous supporter of leadership development, public interest law, women and public policy and Jewish culture. Lisa died tragically at the age of 54. She was a good friend and generous supporter of AJWS, and we hope that, through these words of tzedek, we can contribute to her legacy.

AJWS is committed to a pluralistic view of Judaism and honors the broadest spectrum of interpretation of our texts and traditions. The statements made and views expressed in this commentary are solely the responsibility of the author.